

United States Patent and Trademark Office

上上

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,334	09/08/2003	Yong Chong	198497	1663
HARRIS BEA	7590 03/09/2007 CHILP	EXAMINER		
99 Garnsey Road VU, JIMMY T				IMY T
Pittsford, NY	14534		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2821	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/657,334	CHONG, YONG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jimmy T. Vu	2821				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication ap	pears on the cover shee	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai - If NO period for reply is specified ab - Failure to reply within the set or exte Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING D under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ing date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory period ended period for reply will, by statut r than three months after the mailing	DATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, many will apply and will expire SIX (6) No e, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on <u>15 [</u>	December 2006	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		s action is non-final.	X.				
′ 			atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
·— ··	with the practice under	•	•	•			
Disposition of Claims	·	, ,					
· _	ending in the application	1.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14</u>							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is							
7) Claim(s) is/are	-						
	ubject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		•			
Application Papers		•					
·· _	signated to by the Eversion	Or		·			
9) The specification is ob	•		to by the Evaminer				
- · ·)) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
			ing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	ER 1 121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration	· ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is m		n priority under 35 H S (: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * o		r priority under 55 5.5.c	7. 3 1 10(a)-(a) of (i).				
,	s of the priority documen	ts have been received					
<u> </u>	s of the priority documen		n Application No				
	, ,		en received in this National	l Stage			
<u> </u>	n the International Burea	•	on room of in the real of a	· Otago			
• •	led Office action for a list	,	not received.				
		·					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC			w Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent (Information Disclosure Statemer 	- ·		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(o) (1 10/0b/00)	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/657,334

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Other references have been incorporated to strengthen the examiner's position with respect to the electrical ballast and dimming switch comprising a first board for holding a ballast circuit and a second board for holding power semiconductor devices and a switch responsive to one or more applied mechanical forces for turning lamps on and off and dimming the lamps.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sundaram (U.S. Patent 6,376,991) in view of Moisin (U.S. Patent 5,821,699).

Regarding claim 12, Sundaram discloses a circuit assembly for inclusion within fluorescent lamp as shown in figures 2 comprising first and second circuit boards (B1 and B2, see column 2, line 54), the first circuit board (B1) having a ballast circuit (diode bridges column 2, lines 60-61), and the second circuit board (B2) having a power

Application/Control Number: 10/657,334

Art Unit: 2821

semiconductor device (see column 2, lines 63-67). Sundaram does not specific disclose the assembly further comprising a switch response to a mechanical force for turning on-off a lamp. Moisin shows an improved ballast circuit with a compact fluorescent lamp (as shown in figures 5-9) comprising a circuit board (47, column 12, lines 45-46) having a switch (38) (col. 12, line 14) controlling/adjustable a dimmable ballast (49) (col. 12, line 18), the switch having a mechanical force for turning on/off the lamp. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a dimmer switch as taught by Moisin employed in the assembly of Sundaram in order to control the brightness or cut-off the circuit when being use.

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moisin (U.S. Patent 5,821,699) in view of Sundaram (U.S. Patent 6,376,991).

Regarding claim 13, Moisin discloses an improved ballast circuit with a compact fluorescent lamp (as shown in figures 5-11) comprising: mean for receiving an AC input (41a, 41 b) (Fig. 11, col. 14, line 56); means for generating an output to dim a fluorescent lamp (56) (col. 14, lines 42-46); a first circuit board (47) (colum 12, lines 45-46) having a ballast circuit (49); a switch (38) electrical connected to the board (47) performing an on-off circuit. Moisin does not disclose the lamp having a second circuit board including a power semiconductor circuit. Sundaram shows a fluorescent lamp assembly (as shown in figure 2) comprising a second circuit board (B2) having power semiconductor device (column 2, lines 63-67). It would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 10/657,334

Art Unit: 2821

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a second circuit board as taught by Sundaram employed in the assembly of Moisin in order to provide a conversion voltage applied in the lamp.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-11 and 14 are allowed.

None of the prior art teaches the circuit for dimming the lamp comprising first and second gate control circuits coupled respectively to the first and second voltage regulator and to the oscillator for generating gate control signals in accordance with the oscillator output, and first and second pairs of gate controlled power semiconductor devices connected to the gate control circuits for supplying power to fluorescent lighting devices in accordance with the gate control signals.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy T Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1832. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F: 9 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas W. Owens can be reached on (571) 272-1662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800.

Jimmy Vu

February 27, 2007

Dogla K. Over 3/5/07

DOUGLAS W. OWENS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER